

---

**REMARKS**

Applicant has amended claims 28, 30-35, 38, 40-44, and 46 to better encompass the full scope and breadth of the invention notwithstanding Applicant's belief that the claims would have been allowable as originally filed. Accordingly, Applicant asserts that no claims have been narrowed within the meaning of *Festo*.

**I. Pro Se Applicant Request For Constructive Assistance**

If for any reason the claims of this application are not believed to be in full condition for allowance, pro se applicant respectfully requests the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner in drafting one or more acceptable claims pursuant to MPEP §707.07(j) in order that this application can be placed in allowable condition as soon as possible and without need for further proceedings.

**II. Rejection of Claims 28-47 Under Doctrine of Obviousness-Type Double Patenting**

Claims 28-47 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,338,082. In addition, Applicant has included a terminal disclaimer form to overcome the rejection of obviousness-type double patenting.

**III. Rejection of Claims 28-47 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Broadhurst**

Claims 28-47 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Broadhurst U.S. Patent 6,560,634. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this rejection for at least the following reasons.

Examiner references (Broadhurst: Abstract; Fig. 4; col. 4, lines 10-22, col. 6, lines 15-26, and col. 7 lines 9-26) to show how Broadhurst's method for determining the unavailability of a domain name teaches Claim 28. Examiner disagrees with Applicant's assertion that Broadhurst does not in any way teach or suggest a relationship or combination regarding performing such domain name requests while performing Internet search engine requests for Internet content, and vice-versa by stating that "Broadhurst is searching small portions of the Internet, namely multiple domain name records."

Applicant agrees with Examiner that the multiple domain name records of the domain name system (DNS) can be interpreted as "Internet content" or more specifically, Internet routing content. The DNS comprises a first portion of Internet content whereas "Web page content", comprises a second portion of Internet content, separate from that of the DNS. Typically, Internet routing content is used to locate a network resource configured to store and/or provide web page content.

However, Broadhurst teaches that Internet routing content or DNS records can also be used for determining the unavailability of a domain name with respect to domain name registration services.

***Broadhurst does not in any way teach or suggest a relationship or combination regarding performing such resource location, domain name resolution, or domain name registration requests while also performing search engine requests for web page content, and vice-versa.***

Applicant teaches how and when such separate network services can instead be unified and integrated to provide new type of network related service. ***For example, search engine results for web page content can now be augmented to include domain name availability/registrant related information as part of web page content search engine results and vice-versa regarding domain name availability results.***

All claims relating to the above are amended to define patentably over Broadhurst and other references, alone or in combination. For instance, the second recited element of amended independent Claim 28, "performing a web page content search in accordance with said search engine request", is in no way taught or suggested in Broadhurst. Similarly, the last recited element of independent Claim 28, "determining whether said at least one domain name is available for registration either one of a before, during, and after presenting said at least one search result from said web page content search", clearly distinguishes over prior art by showing that separate services such as domain name registration and searching web page content can be integrated into a combined result.

In turn, independent Claims 38 and 44 are similar in scope to claim 28 and are in no way shape or form suggested, taught, or even mentioned in these references under the same rationale. Furthermore, dependent Claims 29-37, inclusive, incorporate all the subject matter of Claim 28 and add additional subject matter, which makes them, a fortiori, independently patentable over these references.

#### IV. Rejection of Claims 28-47 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Belfiore

Claims 28-47 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Belfiore, et al., U.S. Patent 6,009,459. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this rejection for at least the following reasons.

Examiner references (Belfiore: Abstract) to show how Belfiore's intelligent automatic searching for resources in a distributed environment teaches Claim 28. Belfiore teaches how a web browser can be enhanced to include a browser search capability in the event of the inability to receive or construct a valid URL from input.

A first portion of Belfiore Abstract, reads, "When the user enters a valid URL, the corresponding web site is accessed." However Examiner references, a second portion of Belfiore Abstract, "If the user enters text that is not a URL, the system may first try to construct a valid URL from the user-entered text.", to read on the fourth recited step in Claim 28, "one of a parsing and generating at least one domain name from the one or more identifiers".

Even if a domain name is generated by Belfiore, *the generated domain name is only used to construct a URL for the purpose of performing a resource location request. Belfiore in no way teaches or even suggests using a domain name for another purpose such as* for the purpose of performing a domain name registration request or determining whether the domain name is available for registration.

In a related patent by Applicant, U.S. Patent 6,338,082, which is referenced above under obviousness-type double-patenting, *Applicant already successfully shows how Belfiore fails to teach determining the availability of a domain name and domain name registration in any capacity whatsoever.*

Examiner references Belfiore teaching a registration form (Fig. 4). Applicant has amended dependent Claims 30-32 to read "domain name registration form" in order to avoid any possible ambiguity. Belfiore does not teach or suggest any such domain name registration form.

In turn, independent Claims 38 and 44 are similar in scope to claim 28 and are in no way shape or form suggested, taught, or even mentioned in these references under the same rationale. Furthermore, dependent Claims 29-37, inclusive, incorporate all the subject matter of Claim 28 and add additional subject matter, which makes them, a fortiori, independently patentable over these references.

**V. Final Office Action: Entry of Amendments - No New Search**

Entry of the amendments is respectfully requested since they remove issues in the event of an appeal, do not require further searching, and/or place the subject application in condition for allowance.

**VI. Notice of References Cited, PTO-892**

Applicant has carefully reviewed the references cited but not applied. Applicant respectfully submits that none of those references, alone or in any combination, remedy the deficiencies of the applied art, nor teach or suggest the claimed invention alone or in any combination.


**VII. Conclusion**

For all of the above reasons, the present application and pending claims 28-47, as amended, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to issue a formal Notice of Allowance directed to claims 28-47, inclusive.

Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

April 9, 2004



Eric Schneider

*pro se* Applicant

13938A Cedar Road #258

University Heights, Ohio 44118

(216) 231-2400 - telephone